Corporate Risk Register as at March	2019 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		C	urrer	nt Risk		Т	olera	ince
		e.		Lev	vel		R	isk L	evel
Risk title and description	What we have done	To U b	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating				
 CRR1: Long term commercial investments and major projects capital investment. BCC'S long-term commercial investments and major projects may require greater than anticipated capital investment. Key potential causes are: The cost is higher than expected. The project is delivered later than planned. The operating and maintenance cost of the asset exceeds expectations. The demand for the asset is less than budgeted driving down income. Strategic, geographic, social, financial and economic conditions changing over time. Oversight of Project Interdependencies not well managed. 	The Council has an approved Capital Strategy which sets out how capital investment will play its part in delivering the ambitious long term strategic objectives of the Council, how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability. Capital Governance arrangements have been reviewed and some improvements have been made to governance and accountability arrangements through Housing, Property and Growth and Regeneration Boards. The G&R Board will be overseen by the Delivery Executive to make improvements to capital project business cases, taking account of whole life costing principles and improving capital monitoring arrangements. The agreed capital programme for the next 5 years includes significant corporate contingencies to mitigate financial risks and new pressures or opportunities. The Council also holds a capital investment reserve to support future capital and commercial investments and associated risks therein. We are, monitoring and reporting the cost-determining factors, and seeking relevant professional advice to ensure Value for Money (VfM) by undertaking due diligence which covers the economic, financial, social and environmental case. This is ongoing. Governance arrangements are in place for the council as a Company Shareholder. The Growth and Regeneration Directorate is responsible for delivery of major infrastructure projects. Some of the key projects include: Harbour Strategy -We are looking to secure capital funding to commence in 2018/19 through a robust capital business case to make commercial improvements across areas such as new pontoons, and boaters facilities,' both of which will generate income and make the area more attractive economically. We have completed a commercial benchmarking exercise in terms of charges and comsercial offering using similar marina sites across the UK, We are constructing a plan around our commercial offering, fees & charges, leases etc. to ensure we are maximising income that can be used to invest in the area, and carrying out co					arrangements aligned to capital strategy requirements to be implemented from May 2019. The Executive Director for Growth and Regeneration has instigated a series of ongoing 'Deep Dives' with a focus on key programmes and project deliverables feedback being reviewed by the Growth and Regeneration Board and further detailed review of the capital programme to be undertaken as part of the budget process (Ongoing), the Growth and Regeneration Board meets monthly to continue to improve project, programme and portfolio risk management to ensure robust arrangements are in place and challenge against deliverables. We will maintain a balanced portfolio of investment assets so that exposure to particular classes of risk can be minimised (Ongoing.) and we continue to strengthen client-side arrangements for companies around the: Harbour Strategy - We are working with colleagues across the Growth & Regeneration and Communities Directorates to ensure we have a joined up approach to delivering a new Harbour Strategy. This falls in to three main work streams. Assets, Design and Harbour/Marina activity. Whilst these 3 pieces of work are in differing project stages, an umbrella group to act as a steering and governance forum is being established by September 2018. As part of a robust asset management planning framework we are carrying out condition surveys on the dock's walls to produce a future maintenance schedule as part of the BCC Asset Management Plan by end spring 2019. Arena - Following the Cabinet decision in early September 2018 that alternative options for Temple Island will be pursued the Arena project is being closed. Project closure is on track for completion by 31 March 2019. Temple Quarter - Cabinet on the 4th September 2019. Temple Quarter - Cabinet on the sale agreement to the University of Bristol (UoB). Discussions are underway with the UoB to reach a resolution. Colston Hall - We have engaged a consultant under a Pre-Construction Services Agreement (PCSA). We have set up a 10 point plan to addre	1	7	
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Executive Director Resources and S151 Officer.	retailers with high volatility in wholesale prices and the industry is currently subject to price scrutiny from Industry regulators. Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Finance.	Fina	nce, G	Gover			onnec	ted,	

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risk	s to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cı	urrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR3: Failure to Manage Asbestos. Failure to manage the asbestos management plan for properties. Key potential causes are: Staff availability to carry out work plans in a safe way. Lack of appropriate training. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known risks. Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied. Policies are not kept up to date. Budget pressures. 	 We have an ongoing plan for properties to be surveyed prior to any work being undertaken by Asbestos Consultants plus an ongoing programme of surveys is being carried out. Funding for Contractor training was agreed in February 2018. There is a process for reporting Asbestos exposure incidents to the HSE via F2508 form. Asbestos incidents are reported via the Corporate health and safety accident/incident process. Asbestos incidents are investigated in-house and appropriate actions taken. Significant asbestos issues are reported to the appropriate Health & Safety Committees, senior management and executive. Ongoing. Corporate Asbestos arrangements have been reviewed and published on the Source, 12th June 2018. A corporate review of Asbestos arrangements is has been carried out Q2 2018/19. Property Services have reviewed their asbestos arrangements. We are holding regular 'Asbestos working group' meetings to progress the management of Asbestos across the authority. Ongoing. 	↔	3	7		 All managers will be informed of reviewed Asbestos arrangements by use of CHaSMS. Housing are reviewing and updating the service area Asbestos arrangements, as part of a wider action plan to improve the management of Asbestos, following a Corporate Safety review of the Service. Housing and Property Service are reviewing and updating the service area Asbestos arrangement procedures. Arrangements being made for contractor training to inform of BCC's expectations of standards, whilst on our sites are being made by Property services and housing each aiming to roll out in Feb / March 2019. New Health and Wellbeing Manager to carry out follow up review of actions set out in original review and monitor progress with actions until complete Housing are recruiting a new Health and Safety Post to support effective Health and Safety Arrangements and monitoring CHASM is being reviewed and a specific toolkit for Property "persons in charge" is being created which will enable central monitoring of asbestos arrangements in practice. 	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB).	Action Owner: Director of Commercialisation (for Corporate Estate) and Director of Housing and Landlord Services (for Social Housing).	Fina	folio F nce, C Perfo	Govern	nance ce.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	·		

Colporate Nisk Negister as at March 201	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.			rent Level				Folera Risk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR4: Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing. If the City Council does not meet its wide range of Health & Safety requirements then there could be a risk to the safety of citizens. Key potential causes are: If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way. If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. Lack of appropriate training. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of ortract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied. Policies are not kept up to date. Budget pressures. 	The Corporate Health & Wellbeing (HS&W) team is in place to support the council and provide advice and guidance. The Corporate Policy Statement, service specific policies, procedures and systems of work and safety arrangements are in place and routinely reviewed. BCC has a Corporate Health and Safety Management System (CHaSMS) to identify and monitor hazards, risks and appropriate actions. Each manager (with staff and /or premises responsibilities) have an action plan which is completed by all Managers on a quarterly basis. Once completed the (HS&W) team check the returns and give relevant feedback to the individual Managers and report the overall results to Senior Management. The accident/Incident reporting procedure is in place to monitor injury to colleagues and is communicated. All incidents are subject to the investigation procedure to reduce the potential for any recurrences. Corporate procedures and a risk assessment pro-forma exist for core safety functions including arrangements for fire risk assessment of all workplaces. A register is in place for potential asbestos exposures. We have reviewed and further invested in statutory health surveillance equipment and training and a programme of work in place within council housing post Grenfell. BCC has a programme of e-learning and personal face to face course delivery available to all staff and members. Ongoing specific training on H&S and excessive pressure/ personal resilience is also available. Stress management training and stress risk assessment training is available for managers and employees. A HG Vd VM Partnership Occupational Health Service) is in place to provide advice, employee support, management medical opinion and advice to support managers dealing with employee ill-health and absence. A pre-employment health screening service is in place to provide fast-track access to these services. The council is routinely monitoring these services. The council is routinely monitoring these services. The council is routinely monitoring these services. Th	↔ Portf	3 Olio Fl	7	21	A revised electronic accident /incident database is being developed will be launched in October 2019. KL has carried out a review of the Health and Safety Management arrangements and an improvement plan in place which will be monitored at SPB quarterly. Business partnering arrangements to be put in place to strengthen Director level support for Health and Safety (within existing resources). Working with the Director teams in each Directorate, quarterly reports will be expanded to cover CHASM returns and themes, incidents, training provision compliance. Directorates will be supported to develop action plans. All policies and procedures to be reviewed and refreshed CHASMs will be reviewed to ensure it is risk based, enabling priority to be given to risk areas identified and create a clearer line of sight for Directors on the risks being managed in their business areas. CHASMs will be expanded to include a greater focus on property risk, with a new arrangement for those "persons in charge" for reporting and discussing premises risks and resolution. A review of training will be carried out to ensure that all relevant and required training is available. Linked to CHASM review. Arrangements for controlling risks of Hand Arm Vibration, Noise and respiratory sensitizers will be carried out, with a supporting OH health surveillance programme where we require refresh our focus on wellbeing and health, with a plan in place within the Organisational Improvement Plan to focus on mental health. Time to Change action plan in place and being monitored.	1		
Corporate Leadership Board (CLB).		Finan	ice, Go Perforr	overna					

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ri	sks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
				rrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	ting	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR5: Business Continuity and Councils Service Resilience. If the council has a Business Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities, then the impact of the event maybe increased with a greater impact on people and council Services. Key potential causes are: Strikes (People, Fuel). Loss of key staff (communicable diseases and influenza epidemics). Loss of suppliers. Loss of accommodation to deliver key services. Loss of equipment. Any event which may cause major disruption. Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms. Loss of staff /staff availability. Knowledge loss. Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment. 	The council has a Corporate Resilience Group (CRG) supported by directorate representatives who meet quarterly to oversee the council's Business Continuity arrangements and to receive significant risks outside council's Control which are reflected on the Local Resilience Forum Community Risk Register. A number of Policies and procedures are in place including the Business Continuity Policy (September2016) which was communicated to relevant staff. The Incident Response Plan updated in July 2017. Service Business Continuity Plans were in place for January 2018, the plans have undergone a refresh in 2018. An Incident Management Team training session was carried out September 2018. A Senior Management on-call rota has been devised agreed and is regularly monitored. A successful annual recovery exercise Day Two was carried out 25th May 2018 and relevant improvements are being built into the wider council arrangements and will be briefed to the CRG. CLB accepted growth bid for extra staff on CPU team. A review of Service Level Business Continuity Plans has been completed January 2019. We have \been introducing a quality assurance approach for our business continuity plans to emphasise service accountability. (See CRR24 for Line of Business page 22, CRR25 for IT Resilience page 23 and CRR7 for Cyber-attack page 6.)	+	3	5	15	The Business Continuity Policy is planned to be reviewed in by November 2019. The Corporate Business Continuity Plan will be reviewed by in December 2019. The Businesses Continuity Working Group will be refreshed within the year and we are currently drafting a plan for future exercises to test different elements of BCC Business Continuity arrangements with partners. Business Continuity refresher training is planned for November/December 2019.	1	3	3
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	Action Owner: Director Management of Place	Finar	folio Fla nce, Go Perforr	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.		<u> </u>	

Corporate Risk Register as at March 202	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood		Risk Rating
 CRR6: Fraud and Corruption. Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources. Key potential causes are: Failure of management to implement a sound system of internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it at all times. Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas of fraud. Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud issues. Lack of clear management control of responsibility, authorities and / or delegation. Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work required to minimise the risks of fraud / avoidance. Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource. 	We are continually improving the comprehensive system of control which aims to prevent fraud and increase the likelihood of detection. This includes a strong and robust policy on anti-fraud, corruption and bribery. We take a strong stance when fraud is found and seek financial recovery through a strong and effective counter fraud team. The team concentrates on areas of high fraud risk, investigates fraud promptly where suspected and sanctions appropriately. By, investing in specialist fraud prevention and detection software and utilising cross organisation data will minimise the council's exposure to fraud risk and aid early detection / prevention. An accessible route to report suspected fraud is available to both the public and employees via a Whistleblowing Policy Anti-Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy and web page. This ensures that the council's financial systems accurately record the financial transactions and robust control processes which are in place to maximise the opportunity to prevent and detect fraud or inaccuracies. Employees are aware of probity standards expected of them via an Employee Code of Conduct, improving awareness of fraud and compliance through a process of reminders about ethics and conduct, fraud awareness training and other publicity, continual maintenance of Counter Fraud information on Web pages and monitoring and review of the effectiveness of the Counter Fraud Arrangements. Key Counter Fraud Objectives were defined for 2018/19. Counter Fraud Performance is monitored by Audit Committee via the Annual review of arrangements against CIPFA Count Fraud Assessment Tool. Improvements are highlighted in an action plan which is monitored by Audit Committee. We have revised the structure of Counter Fraud team approved and HRA funding source agreed for tenancy fraud work. We have regular meetings taking place with Legal services to ensure cases progress swiftly. Data sets are submitted to the National Fraud Initiative and output received for review. The whistleblowing review has been co		3	5	15	 On-going monitoring of fraud indicators (warning signs and fraud alerts) to ensure anti-fraud approach is correctly targeted (Ongoing). Revised structure of the Counter fraud service agreed and implementation is ongoing. Action plan to be prepared for tenancy fraud work and delivery of KPI's. Future KPI's to recognise all results from tenancy fraud work i.e. recovered properties, cancelled applications and cancelled 'right to buy' applications. More work to be undertaken with Legal Services to maximise recovery with minimum resource. Project to develop a data warehouse and potential regional hub to commence. Paper to be produced by 28 Feb 2019. Council wide fraud and avoidance initiatives including: NNDR Small Business Rate Relief (in progress). Tenancy fraud key amnesty (March to May 19). National Fraud Initiative 2018 (data received and being reviewed). Accounts Payable forensics software. Personal budgets – data analytics. Bribery and corruption fraud control review in progress, to be completed by March 19. Work to develop a risk assessment underway. Fraud policy to be reviewed and updated. New fraud case management system to be explored and implemented before April 2020. 	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Action Owner: Director of Finance and Chief Internal Auditor.	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	overna		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	_I	1	

	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cui	rrent Leve					ance .evel
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR7: Cyber-Security. The Council's risk level in regards to Cyber-security is higher than should be expected. Key potential causes are: • Lack of investment in appropriate technologies. • Reliance on in-house expertise, and self-assessments (PSN). • Lack of formal approach to risk management (ISO27001). • Historic lack of focus.	The Council has secured a new Public Services Network (PSN) Certificate (issued 9 th November2018). A PSN Remedial Action Plan is in place. Budget provision for Cyber Security was allocated within the Future State Assessment Plan (FSA) as approved by Cabinet June 2018. Independent full security assessments have been carried out November 2018. Increased training - Phishing attacks November 2018.	←	3	7	21	The Council has secured a new PSN Certificate as the assessor was confident that the Council had sufficiently completed much of the PSN Action Plan. However, there is still remaining a number of key activities which are being prioritised alongside other priority activity due to the current capacity of key skills/resources. The resourcing issue is being addressed within the current capability and capacity assessments which are a prerequisite to the ICT Restructure being delivered within the FSA Transformation Programme. Q4 2018, Q1 2019. Procurement for an independent assessment of the Cyber-Security risks, which is wider in breadth and deeper in discovery than the test currently undertaken for PSN compliance is underway; there have been delays in the procurement process which mean that the original Nov18 target has been missed. This will review all aspects of IT Security from both outside and within the network. This assessment will form the basis of the mitigation activity which will be undertaken within the FSA Programme. The audit and mitigation plans should be available during February 2019, ready for review by the Council's SIRO and the FSA Transformation Programme Delivery Board. ICT are working closely with the Council's new SIRO to improve the approach to all aspects of Information Assurance (including adoption of ISO27001). In addition to this, ICT are working with the Resources Executive Director and colleagues to review and enhance the current policies and strategies pertaining to Information Management. This is a new initiative without a formal plan as yet. The FSA Programme currently has plans to implement technology platforms to move the Council from file storage to document storage platforms, increase team collaboration without use of email, implement file retention policies, introduce document marking and rights management, implement data classification and improve federated search across structured and unstructured data stores. The FSA Programme will not impact or inhibit future strategy due to	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Finar	olio Fl Ice, Go Perfori	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cu	urren Lev	t Risk el			olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR9: Safeguarding Vulnerable Children. The council fails to ensure that adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child. Key potential causes are: Safeguarding arrangements do not meet the requirements of the Children Act and associated legislation, guidance and regulations. Inadequate controls result in harm. Poor Management and operational practices. Demand for services exceeds its capacity and capability. Inability to recruit/retain social care staff in a competitive market. Poor information sharing. Strategic commissioning arrangements do not meet identified need and our ability to commission safe care for children is impaired. Increase in complex safeguarding risks, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence and gang affiliation. 	We provide regular analysis of performance and reports to Cabinet Members and Directors regarding safeguarding performance and progress. The Safeguarding Children's Board provides independent scrutiny of children's safeguarding arrangements in the city and holds BCC and partner agencies to account. There has been a review of arrangements to meet the Prevent Duty and the Safer Bristol Board has adopted an Improvement Plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety. BCC works with partners to effectively identify victims and perpetrators of CSE and take action to disrupt and protect. Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements are in place (MAPPA) with BCC contributors at every level to support family safeguarding and Quality Assurance Service has been remodelled to secure additional capacity (Independent reviewing officer and Child Protection Chairs) and has the Local Authority Designated Officer for allegations against people who work with children. Comprehensive training and development offer, together with publication of Bristol's policies and procedures and monthly professional supervision help ensure safe practice and adequate control of risks. This is monitored and tested through a performance and quality assurance framework. September 2018 Ofsted ILACS single inspection identified that, 'services have improved substantially for care leavers, children in care and children in need of help and protection.' However, there is more to do to ensure <i>all</i> children and families receive a good service. Based on this and self-evaluation, we are refreshing our transformation and improvement plan to address areas identified for improvement (these incorporate actions in response to learning from other Inspections, Peer Review, Serious Case Review, complaints and other feedback received). Bristol's Strengthening Families transformation programme is taking a whole system approach to meeting the needs of children and families ecceeding the experienced social workers and managers are used on tempora	•	2	7	14	 Safeguarding Board and related arrangements are under review, with the aim of improving efficiency and effectiveness, and ensuring robust governance arrangements continue to hold multiagency partners (inc. BCC) to account. Ongoing action is being taken to extend information sharing arrangements and improve response to children at risk of criminal exploitation and going missing following CSE/Missing National Working Group recommendations. Under the delivering of Strengthening Families Programme we have an ongoing plan to: Reduce caseloads of social care practitioners. Ensure purposeful practice that supports children to live safely within their families and provide local authority care for those who need it. Ensure effective management oversight is evident on all children's records. Measures to improve recruitment and retention of Social Workers will be presented through the Decision Pathway in September 2018. This should allow us to work proactively where poor practice is identified. In response to an identified and increasing risk of serious youth violence, criminal exploitation a multiagency plan is being developed and implemented, focussing on primary, secondary and tertiary prevention. 	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Director for Children's and Families Services.		folio f dren a ple.	0	oung	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Wellbeing.	d Cari	ng,	

				rrent Leve				olera isk Lo	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Ratin <i>e</i>
 CRR10: Safeguarding Adults at Risk with Care and support needs. The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to Adults at risk. Key potential causes are: Adequacy of its controls. Management and operational practices. Demand for its services exceeded its capacity and capability. Poor information sharing. Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. Failure to commission safe care for adults at risk. Failure to meet the requirements of the "Prevent Duty "placed on Local Authorities. 	The Safeguarding Adults Board is an independent scrutiny board led by BCC alongside our statutory partner and key agencies. There has been a multi-agency led review of existing arrangements led by BCC in light of the new Prevent Duty and the Board has adopted an Improvement plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety. The Board is now on a statutory footing following implementation of the Care Act 2014. The Multi Agency Public Protection arrangements are in place (MAPPA). The Bristol Safeguarding Adults Board Learning and Development Competence Framework has been reviewed and will be reviewed on an annually basis to ensure continued best practice. Safeguarding improvement plans are in place for Older People, Physical Disability and Disabled Children and the Capability framework for safeguarding and the mental capacity act have been introduced. The Adult Change Programme ' Better Lives' - Transforming Care Programme has been established to implement policy objectives of moving people into more suitable care settings. We have an active strategy in place to attract, recruit and retain social workers through a variety of routes with particular emphasis on experienced social workers. The Adult South West Recruitment and Retention Strategies and campaigns support the recruitment and retention of high calibre social workers and managers, with competent agency social workers and managers used on temporary basis to fill vacancies. All key staff working with people directly at risk are trained in the essentials of safeguarding and BCC has an ongoing awareness-raising 'Prevent' training programme. Regular reporting on safeguarding is taking place quarterly for Directors and Cabinet Members, with an annual report for elected Members to allow for scrutiny of progress. The quality assurance framework and performance framework is routinely monitored and reported on. The outcome of the recent Kamil Ahmad Safeguarding Adults Review has been considered in detail and all recommended actions noted and a	↔	2	7	14	Social workers working with Multi-agency partners supporting adults and elderly people to live safel y within their families and community. We are increasing capacity this year in the commissioning team to lead on monitoring quality in the care sector. Improving the quality services for those who need it and ensuring effective management oversight. It is planned to make a one off retention payment to all social workers as part of the council's retention policy. A wider review of the remuneration package for social workers is planned to improve recruitment and retention. Review of the Safeguarding Pathway is planned for April 2020. We are transforming the Safeguarding Adults Board.	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Interim Director Adult Social Care.		folio Fla al Care	0	dult	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering oth Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.	iers a	nd Ca	ring,

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risks to t	he achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	ting
 CRR11: Bristol City Council (BCC) Infrastructure Delivery. If the council fails to prioritise infrastructure investment and resources, has inconsistent policies and attitudes, and has no bargaining power regionally or with central government; there is a risk that inward investment will be reduced. It makes it difficult for the council to realise its strategic priorities, ensure assets are efficient and fit for purpose in meeting current and future demand and support development of the local area. Key potential causes are: No clear strategic direction and objective set for the Property estate. Services and resources (human and financial) are not fully aligned and/ or controlled to deliver the objectives. Failure to deliver the level of anticipated Capital Receipts. Leadership capacity, engagement and capability are insufficient to drive change and transformation within the council. Resources are poorly managed, short term approach being adopted or are not contributing fully to council priorities; resulting in agreed outcomes and objectives not being fully achieved. Infrastructure Condition and suitability of overall asset base is not being used or managed efficiently or effectively. Lack of joined up planning, decision making and effective project management. Ineffective collection, integrity and use of data and information. Reduced public sector funding impacting on the resources available. Currently a more uncertain future due to Brexit. 	Governance arrangements have been established through the Growth and Regeneration Board (G&RB) and the Strategic Property Group (SPG) both launched in Q4. 2017/18 to enable the integration of thinking about property with financial, regeneration and other considerations and enhance reporting of asset disposal plans and progress. The G&RB have identified a number of areas of growth and regeneration (AGR) across the City during Q4. 2017/18 to enable place shaping including contributing to regeneration activity, affordable housing, community building and the financial sustainability of the council. Prioritisation of AGR is underway by the G&R Board. The Strategic Property Review Group (SPG) was established in January 2018 and meets on a monthly basis. The SPRG identified the need for an Operational Property Group in March 2018. The Operational Property Group (OPG) as a sub-group to the Strategic Property Group (SPG) was launched September 2018 to unlock the value of assets, seek efficiencies through joint arrangements with public sector partners and maximise private sector investment. Actions are now being progressed through the work of the SPG and (from April/May 2018) through OPG which will adopt a Corporate Landlord role to ensure the ownership of an asset and the responsibility for its management; maintenance and funding are transferred to a centralised corporate crosscutting group. Recruitment of Asset Management Plan specialist has commenced.	←	2	7	14	Recruitment of specialist Asset Management Plan specialist resource commenced / on-going. The Develop strategies and Implementation plans that ensure the property portfolio remains a major asset in supporting the achievement of corporate aims and objectives will be complete by end March 2019. Development and implementation of a Property Asset Management Strategy - DWG decision (04/04/2018) to recruit specialist Asset Management Plan (AMP) resource to develop the outline business case by July/August 2018 and to inject pace into the production of the AMP. The current estimated timescale for completion of the AMP by end March 2019. We are proactively supporting the development of a local development strategy to appropriately reflect Bristol's Infrastructure needs by March 2019. Recruitment of sufficient resources, to ensure the capacity and skills required are available to enable the objectives from the estate to be delivered will be ongoing.	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Management of Place	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation			

	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cui	rren Lev	t Risk vel				ance evel
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Diel-Detier
 CRR12: Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage emergency events when they occur. Key potential causes are: Critical services unprepared or have ineffective emergency and business continuity plans and associated activities. Lack of resilience in the supply chain hampers effective response to incidents. Lack of trained and available strategic staff. (Previously Civil Contingencies and Council Resilience). 	The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a legally required multi-agency partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire. The Avon and Somerset LRF to drive work identified by risk and impact based on Avon and Somerset Community Risk Register. Key roles of the group includes: Intelligence gathering and forecasting, regular training exercises and tests, Task and Finish groups addressing key issues, procedure, plan writing and capability building, and a multi-Agency recovery structure is in place. Bristol is working with Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) together with personnel as an integrated and co-located team to deliver enhanced emergency planning and business continuity along with Avon and Somerset Local Health Resilience Partnership to ensure a coordinated health services and Public Health England and planning, response is in place. A system is in place for ongoing monitoring of severe weather events (SWIMS). Emergency planning training has been rolled and a multi-agency exercise is regularly conducted to test different elements of BCC emergency arrangements with partners. The most recent exercises being Day Two May 2018, Dark Zodiac April 2018 and Saxon Resolve November 2017. A senior management on-call rota has been devised, agreed and is monitored. Emergency Reservists have been recruited to aid emergency responses. External IT security incidents are logged and reviewed from an IT and wider Information Governance perspective. Local procedures have been established and are being continually reviewed and refined for when the national threat level increases to critical. This includes an update of the Corporate Business Continuity Plan. We tested the Bristol Operations C	•	2	7	14	 We need to continue to strengthen our joint, multi-agency working arrangements with responder organisations in the City. An 'Introduction to Emergency Planning' e-learning package will be available for all staff by December 2019. Recruitment and training of additional Emergency Centre Managers and Emergency Volunteers is ongoing. Training sessions delivered and ongoing. Emergency Centre live exercise is planned for November 2018. – postponed, although smaller training exercises have been completed and corporate exercise planned for May 2019. Training for ABS staff to support incident response and recovery (admin, logging and logistics) is ongoing. We are embedding lessons from Exercise Day Two, particularly around housing capacity, community engagement and mutual aid. A report is planned for the Corporate Resilience Group (CRG). 	1	7	
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Management of Place	Fina	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	over	nance	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.	_1		

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risks t			Cu	rrent Leve				olerai isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR13: Financial Framework and MTFP. Failure to be able to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the medium-term and the council is unable to set a balanced budget. Key potential causes are: Economic uncertainty impact on locally generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income. Brexit - the general uncertainty affecting the financial markets, levels of trade & investment. Governments spending review 2019. Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes: The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention to significantly reduce the government funding available to the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services. Embedding of the new national funding formula for schools and High Needs. Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. Rising inflation could lead to increased costs. 	 BCC manages its financial risks through a range of controls including medium term financial planning, budget preparation, budget setting and a Budget Accountability Framework. Roles and responsibilities for managing, monitoring and forecasting income and expenditure against approved budgets have been updated. The council has developed a strong rolling Medium-term financial strategy process to enable the strategic objectives and the statutory duties are met. We are working to ensure a rigorous structure exists to oversee the budgetary control process from budget setting through to monitoring, oversight and scrutiny including: The maintaining of the evolving financial model that reflects in a timely manner changes in national and local assumptions. The level of reserves and balances are regularly reviewed to ensure that account is taken of any financial /economic risk and the adequacy of general reserves is determined as part of this exercise. Financial Regulations and Financial Scheme of Delegation is in place. Regular in-year monitoring and reporting, review of future financial plans and assessment of financial risks and reserves are undertaken to ensure the financial plans and assessment of financial risks and reserves are undertaken to ensure the financial plans and elivered. 2018/19 Budget and Capital Programme agreed by Full Council in February 2018 with agreed savings programme and outline capital programme to 2023. Preparation of the detailed 2019/20 budgets which are now subject to Full Council approval in February 2019 Medium Term Financial Plan agreed by Cabinet / Full Council in December 2018 and will be refreshed annually detailing savings, growth, and risks. The Mid-Year Treasury Report for 2018/19 presented to Audit Committee and Full Council in accordance with the Council's Treasury Management Process. Detailed savings monitoring process that tracks the delivery of all savings and transformation prog	+	3	5	15	We are undertaking due diligence on new propositions (at a proportionate level based on stage of development) to ensure subject to approval these can be contained within the financial envelope. The development of the finance team remains a key priority which will include commercial and business acumen. This will be an ongoing and aligned with professional development. Ongoing. Ensuring that Bristol City Council are engaged with or receiving timely feedback from the range of Government working groups exploring future local funding. Ongoing. We are co-ordinating the preparation of suggested alternative budget proposals to ensure final budget to be agreed by Full Council in February 2019 is resilient and set within approved financial parameters. (See OPP4 Brexit opportunity page 25 and BCCC2 Brexit external threat page 27.)	1	5	5
Risk Owner: S151 Officer and Director of Finance.	Action Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance.	Finar	folio F nce, G Perfor	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	1	<u> </u>	

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risks t			Cu	rrent Leve					ance Level
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Dick Dation
CRR15: Financial Deficit.	BCC's Financial framework ensures that we have in place sound arrangements for financial					A review of robustness of forecasting in light of YTD run	1		
The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the	planning, management, monitoring and reporting. New spend decisions and borrowing is only supported where the source of revenue resources to meet the costs is clearly identified and availability confirmed by Finance.					rates and other associated evidence. Budget Improvement – The executive will review service recovery/delivery plans, options for mitigation and their			
council's reserves policy. Key potential causes are:	Corporate Revenue Monitoring Reports with identified risks are reported to Cabinet, overspending departments prepare action plans with responsible Directors identified.					viability, risk and priority outcome implications - both immediate and the wider MTFP impact.			
 A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings unscheduled loss of material income streams. Increase in demography, demand and costs for key 	The ongoing review and due diligence of all budget savings by Delivery Executive, Corporate Leadership Board and the Executive. The pipeline of propositions to be incorporated into the tracker, due diligence undertaken and subject to DE governance and assurance process.					Where viable in year recovery/delivery plans cannot be achieved, Strategic Directors will report to the Mayor and Cabinet seeking a supplementary funding approval in			
 council services. The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital receipts. 	The ongoing regular monitoring reports to Corporate Leadership Team and Cabinet. Setting out progress on delivery of savings and other risks and opportunities in addition to the forecast expenditure.					accordance with the council's delegated executive approval powers (up to £1,000,000 for an area of activity). Where viable in year recovery/delivery plans cannot be			
 Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. 	We have continual oversight and ongoing management of the council's financial risks.					achieved, Strategic Directors will report to Full Council (in accordance with the Budget & Policy framework) to seek			
Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs.	Internal audit also undertakes a number of reviews of our financial planning and monitoring arrangements.	+	1	5	5	agreement to a supplementary estimate (> £1,000,000 for an area of activity).	1	5	5
 Impairments in our commercial Investments are realised. 						We will seek agreement from the Executive of the alternative measures held in abeyance across other General Fund services e.g. which will be offset and advise all associated Strategic Directors appropriately.			
						We will carry out a re-assessment of service delivery risks and opportunities and risk and other reserves.			
						Working with external advisors to undertake due diligence of commercial investments to provide the council with Assurance and further opportunities to explore.			
						Ensuring we get our accounts certified is important to ensure that we have properly accounted for the resources we have used during the year.			
Risk Owner: S151 Officer and Director of Finance.	Action Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources and Acting Director of Finance.	Finar	folio F nce, G Perfor	overr		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	_		

Threat	Risks
--------	-------

Corporate Risk Register as at March 20	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olerar isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 If there is a lack of leadership or management skills, then this could impact on performance and the ability to deliver positive change. Key potential causes are: Loss of experienced managers. New skills sets required to meet new challenges. Poor communication regarding change and new initiatives. Need to make savings / increase income. 	 and stability for the leadership team. A new Leadership Framework sets out the leadership behaviours and qualities expected of our managers and aspiring managers. Applicants for senior leadership roles are tested against these qualities. An organisation-wide leadership development and engagement programme will support colleagues during the time of transition. The Leadership Framework will be rolled out to provide support to enable our staff to become confident and supportive leaders for our workforce. To promote a positive culture within the organisation, a set of organisation values and behaviours has been created in collaboration with staff focus groups; this will help set the tone of the organisation and assist, in conjunction with the Corporate Strategy priorities to provide clarity of purpose. It will link directly through to a new performance framework that will provide clarity to staff about the expectations and how their work contributes to the success of the organisation. This will feature in 	¢	2	5	10	Centre undertaken for all second tier managers. Leadership development planning underway for third tier managers. All development is based upon the new leadership framework and organisational values. Organisation values and behaviours adopted as part of Corporate Strategy and are being rolled out to all employees via team workshops. New performance management system and strategy being implemented from April 2019 to increase the levels of participation and quality of performance reviews. Performance appraisal cycle underway for 2018/19 with individual objectives set against business plan objectives from the Corporate Strategy. A new approach to performance and talent management launching in April 2019. This will include performance management for senior leaders. L&D support available to all staff to help them develop their skills and confidence in their roles, with additional funding identified for 2018/2019. The provision of L&D support around diversity and inclusion is currently being reviewed.	1	5	5
	the Workforce Plan which is currently being drafted. The Corporate Strategy and associated Business Plans will provide clarity on priorities and help our workforce focus its attention and resources on the areas that derive greatest results for our communities and residents. We have regular communication with staff and key stakeholders to keep them up to date with organisational priorities and progress on recruitment of the senior leadership team. A refreshed internal communication and engagement strategy was received by CLB in August 2018. The senior leadership restructure is complete and all posts have been filled in Quarter 3 2018.					The Organisational Improvement Plan will detail the actions we will take to meet the future needs of the organisation and equip colleagues to contribute to the delivery of the corporate strategy priorities. It has a focus on six cross-cutting themes - an empowering organisation, diversity and inclusion, performance and talent management, workforce health and wellbeing, structure, pay and policy and our brand and recruitment. Launched Team Leader development programme 6 weeks for this new in team leader role focused on; practical people management skills and help put BCC policies into practice. It can also lead to an ILM Level 3.			
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Action Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perfor	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 20	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR17: Bristol City Council Strategy Management. The council fails to produce or embed a Corporate Strategy with clear links through to business planning and performance management, resulting in less effective implementation of policy, use of resources and/or partnership working. Key potential causes are: Plans, policies, budget and/or resource not aligned to the Corporate Strategy. Significant changes in senior management roles and personnel results in lack of knowledge or a feeling of ownership in relation to 	 Full Council received and approved the Corporate Strategy 2018 - 2023 in February 2018. Cabinet received the BCC Business Plan 2019/20 to note in April 2019. This was based on key elements of Service Plans for every department and was the second annual Business Plan aligned to the Corporate Strategy. Cabinet received the approved Performance Framework 2019/20 April 2019. The refreshed Performance Framework follows through the golden thread from Corporate Strategy through to KPIs and management information. This year both it and the annual Business Plan benefitted from input from frontline members via predecision scrutiny. The Communication Plan is in place for embedding the Corporate Strategy and Business Plans, including full briefing of all Tier 1 - 3 managers and for inclusion in My Performance reviews for all colleagues. An ongoing review of the Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) and wider policy / strategy framework to check alignment with Corporate Strategy, with revised MTFP to presented to Cabinet in December 2018. 		1	7	7	 There has been some delay in reviewing our partnership policy due to limited staff capacity and this has been re-profiled for completion by the end of May 2019 to enable it to take account of the published One City Plan and the One City Approach described therein. We are rolling out a new BCC Communications Strategy throughout 2019/20. The BCC policy and strategy framework will be reviewed in priority order with initial data collection complete. The nature of reviewing strategies across the council means it will be an ongoing process. My Performance reviews are to be completed across the organisation for 2019/20 and managers are briefed to link personal objectives to the outcomes set out in the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan. The Corporate Strategy is being explained to all new colleagues via the corporate induction process. The Corporate Leadership Board, Scrutiny and Cabinet will receive 2018/19 year-end performance reporting in spring 2019. 2019/20 progress against the Performance Framework will be presented to relevant management meetings, scrutiny commissions 	1	5	5
the Corporate Strategy.	Completed Business and Service Planning process for 2019/20 with sign-off from Corporate Leadership Board in March 2019, aligning service planning with the annual budget process. My Performance reviews undertaken throughout the organisation and management cascade underway to follow-up in any areas of non-compliance.					and Cabinet from Q2 19/20.			
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Finar	folio F nce, G Perfor	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	•		

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ri	sks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cui	rent	Risk		Т	olera	nce
				Leve				lisk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR18: Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum by 2020 of which 800 are affordable. The risk of failing to deliver the range of housing to meet Bristol's needs and not realise the ambition to	We are progressing the Joint Spatial Plan and the Local Plan Review to identify sufficient housing sites to meet need. Prioritising the processing of residential planning applications.					We are continually addressing all areas of affordable housing provision including: Community Led Housing (CLH), Registered Providers (RPs) and Direct Delivery, (New Council Homes).			
deliver 2000 homes per annum by 2020 of which 800 are affordable. Key potential causes are:	Established a dedicated housing delivery team. Planning to release circa 26 sites for development. Established Housing Company.					We are operating a significant land release programme to Registered Providers. On- going. We are carrying out a service review of the Housing Delivery Service by March 2019.			
 Not enough planning applications submitted Not enough permissions granted 	Committed £50m to Grant Funding programme. Secured circa £20m of external grant funding to accelerate	Ļ	2	7	14	We are engaging with Homes England on their new strategic partnerships to deliver increased capacity in the sub-region and with their Accelerated Construction and Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) programmes to de-risk sites. On-going.	1	5	5
 Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level. Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit 	construction. Secured planning permissions for Romney House and Hartcliffe.					We are looking at how we can deliver additionally on existing sites utilising our grant to increase the number of affordable homes in 2019/20.			
 Lack of capacity within the council's delivery system and the local market. Insufficient housing land identified in 	Secured additional grant funding for infrastructure. Releasing land.								
Planning documents	Issuing grants to Registered Providers (RPs).								
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Development of Place.	Portfolio	Flag: H	ousir	ıg.	Strategy Theme: Fair and Inclusive.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ris	sks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	Irrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR19: Tree Management. Risk of trees falling as a result of failure under certain weather conditions and/or due to disease	Analysis has started on the trees that are potentially at risk and the resources assigned to tree management. Information about tree failure claims has been requested from our insurers.					We are reviewing the tree management plan to assure that all trees are within the inspection regime in readiness for the adoption of the QTRA methodology.			
Key potential causes are:	Some budget for tree management from parks and highways has been protected for 2018-19 while this is reviewed to deal with highways and parks trees.					Budgets will be consolidated to provide a central tree management programme.			
 Severe weather conditions and/or disease. Lack of maintenance of trees. Lack of tree inspections. 		+	3	5	15	Consideration on the current service provision related to tree management will include best value analysis.	1	5	5
 Reduction in budgets and fragmentation of management of trees across service areas putting pressure on the ability to adequately manage the council's trees. 									
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	Action Owner: Director Place, Resources.	Portfol Comm		·		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risks to the ach				rrent Leve				olera lisk Lo	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR21: Information Governance. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) compliance. If the Council fails to maintain a defensible and compliant response to the Data Protection Act 2018 and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) then it will fail to fully comply with its statutory requirements. Key potential causes are: Failure to invest in the required systems, equipment and posts required to implement these regulations. Failure to adequately train staff in the requirements of the regulations. Lack of resource (capacity or expertise) to manage Subject Access Requests. (This risk replaces CRR14 Introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation). Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO). 	The GDPR Project was started in November 2017 and has put in place a comprehensive council wide package of changes for the introduction of the new regulation. It included awareness training, data audits, updated contracts, retention policy and privacy notices and improved processes for responding to subject access requests and completing data protection impact assessments. Support has also been provided to elected Members, Schools and the Bristol Companies. The GDPR Project completed its objectives and deliverables and was formally closed July 2018. A newly formed Office of Data Protection has been established, led by a dedicated Statutory Data Protection Officer (SDPO) who was appointed August 2018 to ensure the City Council maintains and further enhances its policies and procedures and to provide ongoing advice, guidance and support to service areas. Additional Data Protection specialists have also been appointed to Executive Directors Meetings (EDM's) to ensure that the high-level of engagement and buy-in across all levels of the organisation is maintained.	← → Portfol	3 io Flag	5	15	We have made significant progress on compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The Office of Data Protection is working on a prioritised programme of work to fully transition from the GDPR Project and embed GDPR compliance into business as usual. We are currently considering the case for forming an Information Governance team, bringing together existing specialists into a central team which will be better positioned to provide advice guidance and support on all related aspects in a more coordinated manner.	2		6
	(SDPO).	Govern Perforr	nance a	and					

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Risks to the ach			Cu						
Risk title and description	What we have done	Summary Level Current Risk Level Toleranc Risk Level pooling true bit tru	Risk Rating						
CRR22: Partnerships Governance	BCC has close involvement of Elected Mayor and Members in key partnerships. Regular								
If the council does not maximise (or cannot quantify) the benefits of partnership working and/or experiences negative or counter- productive results may arise from partnership working.	review and evaluation of the current position by CLB. Leads have been defined for recommendations to develop partnership working which were received by the Audit Committee in April 2016.					Creating a central Partnership Register including Service Level Agreements (SLAs), Terms of			
y potential causes are: BCC has mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships.						. ,			
 Failure to establish and/or manage contracts, Service Level Agreements and/or Terms of Reference in relation to partnerships. Not maintaining a central register of partnerships, membership, governance arrangements and performance measures. No identified lead officer to progress development of partnership working as in proposals presented to the Audit Committee in April 2016. Outdated partnership policy and toolkit (last iteration 2010). A broad range of partnerships with variable degrees of formality. 	The role of Director: Policy and Strategy has been expanded to include oversight of partnerships and a permanent appointment to this post has been made.	1				Creating a template Terms of reference and porting existing Terms of reference to it. We are scoping and reviewing the need for Commercial Training for relevant managers as part of Procurement and Commercial Strategy.	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships		nancea	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ris	sks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cui	rrent Leve				olera	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 CRR23: Better Lives Programme. Failure to deliver the required outcomes and savings from the Better Lives Programme, whilst delivering against our statutory duties and maintaining quality services. Key potential causes are: Increased demand and complexity of Service Users' needs. The Provider Market is unable to meet needs in the required way and/or we suffer relationship breakdown. Other Directorates within the organisation are unable to support the Programme in the way required. Statutory requirements of Adult Social Care (ASC) mean resources have to be diverted away from Programme activity. Changes to the priorities of the wider health system and/or the National context, requires us to divert resources/focus away from the Programme's objectives. There is a lack of sufficient skills and capacity within Adult Social Care (ASC) to deliver the required change at the required pace. Focus on savings, demand management and specific areas of the service creates risk in other areas of adult social care where we have a statutory duty to deliver. 	We have a Programme Board in place that meets monthly and has a key governance role for the Programme in terms of managing risk. The Board membership contains the Cabinet Lead for Adult Social Care, The Executive Directors for ACE and Resources, the Director of Adult Social Care and representation from both Bristol Hospital Trusts and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG). They are provided with a verbal update and written monthly highlight report that contains key risks and issues. Any actions and decisions arising are minuted with completion tracked through a log. The Programme Senior Responsible Officer (SRO) regularly attends key internal governance meetings e.g. ACE Scrutiny Commission, Delivery Executive. The programme appointed a dedicated Senior Professional Lead who works within Adult Social Care to oversee delivery of the programme outcomes and act as the lead Business Change Manager. We have delivered and are planning to deliver a number of key interventions to improve the diversity of provision and the Provider Market's ability to respond to changing requirements and needs e.g. Bristol Price introduced for residential and nursing care June 2018; Market Position statement provider event held .We are actively increasing opportunities to work with us in shaping the future market as well as investing in key areas such as Home Care (Cabinet approved rate rise and innovation fund July 2018). We are working closely with other areas of the Council we have a dependency on to help us deliver the programme outcomes e.g. Change Services, Housing, Communities, Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Procurement colleagues. We have a specific area of the Programme dedicated to strengthening partnership working. We have introduced a number of interventions that are impacting new demand e.g. the introduction of the Bristol Price (June 2018); increased capacity and investment in Home Care (July 2018); increased capacity in the Reablement Service; Introduced a new Home First Service (October 2018)	↔ Parte	2	7		We are currently developing a new phase of the Better Lives Programme, focused on delivering the programme vision at pace. This will include activities to deliver further changes which are required around Older People's services and an increased focus on Adults of Working Age and Preparing for Adulthood. Piloting provider reviews to increase capacity in our Reviewing Teams and further develop the Market. (November 18) Developing for a new Assistive Technology offer. Completing the delivery of proposals new technology and working practices to our Social Workers. Completing the delivery of proposals new technology and ways of working to our Home First and Reablement teams. Continue to increase the capacity of the Reablement service to the required level, Continuing to discuss dependencies between Healthier Together and Better Lives with programme leads. Implement Phase 2 of the Integrated Care Bureau. Introducing a further rate increase for Home Care. April 2019. Opening two new Extra Care Housing sites in the City each with 60 units with BCC nomination rights (100 units in total). Which has been delay from November 2018 to the end of Q4 18/19.	1		
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Interim Director Adult Social Care.	Portfo Social		: Adu	ılt	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair a Well connected, Wellbeing.	and Ir	iclusi	ve,

			Cu					olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	L4: Procurement and Contract agement ComplianceIn 2018/19 BCC has adopted a Category Management approach and revised the structure of the procurement team to reflect the themes and style of working.L4: Procurement that BCC achieves is on services; complies with 	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating			
CRR24: Procurement and Contract Management Compliance Failure to ensure that BCC achieves value for money when purchasing goods and services; complies with legislation, quality, cost and social value requirements for contract awards to ensure orders for goods / services are efficiently placed and observes agreed terms. BCC do not take into account long term view with regards to TCO (Total Cost of Ownership) & Life Cycle Costs high incidence of non-contracted spends. Key potential causes are: • Poor/weak pre procurement forward planning and tender specifications. • Over reliance and inappropriate use of waivers. • Skills, knowledge. • Ineffective Supply chain and markets. • Poor / weak contract monitoring. • Supplier failure and missed opportunities of warning signs.	 structure of the procurement team to reflect the themes and style of working. A PFI contract management specialist has been appointed to support the council and other stakeholders in the management of the contracts, undertake due diligence to ensure maximum value is delivered from the councils PFI contracts. Ongoing. Council procurement rules were revised and agreed by Full Council in May 2018 and included strengthening the Category and Contract Management. Category Plans have been developed with forward plans which align to service and business plans. More in-depth performance Data is being collated to give greater visibility of compliant and non-compliant procurement activity and delivery of objectives e.g. Social Value. Ongoing. The Commissioning and Procurement Group are ensuring that the Councils procurement rules are adhered to. Ongoing. Implemented document contract procedures and have created standard ITT templates for BCC. Category plans include pipeline activity for every contract and client engagement plans have been created to ensure early engagement with Procurement and better planning. A pilot tracker system has been developed with Social Care Commissioners within the business to monitor performance to capture early warning signs linked to 					 We are currently aligning category management forward plans with 2019/20 service resource planning across the organisation. January 2019. We are developing an improvement action plan which will include the following: Further development of the contract register. Training and development plan for the procurement staff and the wider organisation. Systems and processes to improvement and the monitoring of procurement performance. Procurement efficiencies are being tracked in delivering agreed savings targets. Tendering processes are being reviewed to eliminate non-value added activity and support the appropriate route to market. The early warning system pilot will be reviewed with a view to wider rollout. March 2019. This is not an exhaustive list and once the improvement plan has been endorsed it will inform on next steps. 	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Section 151 Officer, Executive Director Resources.	Action Owner: Director Finance (Section 151 Officer).	Portfo Govern Perfor	nance a	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	<u> </u>	1	

Corporate Risk Register as at March 202	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
				rent l	-			olera	
		a		Level			R	isk Le	vel
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performanc	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR25: Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) systems	The FSA Programme plans to introduce a number of enhancements in regards to being able to better manage systems in the future. This					Ensure that Line of Business (LOB) systems that pose a Cyber Security, Procurement or Resilience/Recovery risk are identified and service areas understand the risks to their services. On-			
The Councils reliance on legacy	includes the provision of some core capabilities which may be used to					going.			
systems.	displace legacy systems in the future. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.					Where appropriate ensure that these risks are articulated to Risk, BCP and procurement colleagues, and also to the SIRO, as appropriate. On-going.			
Key potential causes are:Lack of desire to change; systems.Significant transition activity leads	As a result of FSA Programme activity to date, c40% of redundant servers have been removed from the Corporate IT estate. Q3 2018.					Delivery of the FSA Programme remains the key ICT activity. This will be delivered in line with the 2-3 year FSA Programme.			
 to systems being. expensive/complex to change Lack of understanding of consequences of not changing 	The IT CSRM Team have developed a schedule of contract end dates, and are working with service areas to ensure that they have appropriate plans in place to engage the market and start re- procurement Q3 2018.	t	3	5	15	Where there is a business case to not re-procure, a decision will be undertaken by CLB to accept this as an approach. Q4 2018.	2	5	10
 systems on ICT. Lack of adherence to Procurement rules in relation to re- procurements. 	Through the service planning process, service areas have identified their procurement requirements and also their strategic objectives for 2019/20 which has enabled ICT and Change Management to review the options for efficiencies and to ensure resource availability. Q3 2018.								
	Working with Central Procurement colleagues to ensure that we have procurement policies and strategies in place to enable effective procurement activity to take place. Q3 2018.								
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfoli			nce,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			
Owner (SIRO) for Cyber Security Service Areas for BCP/DR.		Govern: Perform		nd					

Threat	Risks	
--------	-------	--

Corporate Risk Register as at March 202	19 – Threat Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
					t Risk			olera	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	ting	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact s	Risk Rating
 CRR26: ICT Resilience The Councils ability to deliver critical and key services in the event of ICT outages, and be able to recover in the event of system and/or data loss. Key potential causes are: Poor BCP planning and understanding of key system architecture. Untested DR arrangements including data recovery. Untested network reconfiguration to alleviate key location outage. Untested recovery schedules in terms of order and instructions Lack of resilience available for legacy systems (single points of failure – people and technology). Services undertaking their own IT arrangements outside of the 	Resilience has been implemented within the Corporate Network to ensure that the network remains active and available in the event of a building becoming unavailable or a circuit being interrupted. Work to date. Backups are held within, and external, to the corporate network to ensure availability. Work to date. The FSA Programme has the movement to more resilient hosting as part of a core deliverable. Utilising cloud hosting improves resilience and recovery and enables access to key systems from outside of the corporate network, and if necessary, from non-corporate devices. As approved by Cabinet June 2018. The FSA Programme includes the review of future DR arrangements with the move to cloud for most services, and a move to crown hosting for remaining, servers. As approved by Cabinet June 2018. The FSA Programme includes work to aid with the survivability and recovery of Cyber Security Incidents which will aid the resilience of key Council systems. As approved by Cabinet June 2018.	•	3			A test of current Disaster Recovery arrangements is due within Q4 2018/19. Ensure that Line of Business (LOB) systems that pose a Resilience/Recovery risk are identified and service areas understand the risks to their services within their BCP planning. On-going. Implementing a programme of DR Testing for key business systems (following the Q4 2018 testing). Q1 2019 onwards. Delivery of the FSA Programme remains the key ICT activity. This will be delivered in line with the 2-3 year FSA Programme.	2	5	10
corporate approach. Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and Service Area Leads.	Action Owner: Director, Digital Transformation.	Portfoli Govern Perforn	ance a	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ri	sks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cı	urrent Leve				olera isk L	ance evel
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR27: Capital Transport Programme Delivery Management of the overall transport capital	Transport Programme Team set up. Transport Delivery Board set up.					Transport department split which could endanger work done to date working on ways to mitigate this.			
programme is key to ensuring we deliver against	Shared paperwork and highlight reporting process initiated.					Raising concerns to senior management.			
mayoral priorities in the most cost and time efficient way possible. Failure to do so negatively impacts the council's reputation and finances and	Regular briefings and reporting to senior management and cabinet members.					Retaining Transport Management Team (TMT) meetings.			
makes the council less likely to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality.	5 year capital programme mapping process underway.	New	3	7	21	Continuing to develop Transport Planning Team (TPT), Transport Development Board (TDB) and highlight report processes.	2	5	10
 Key potential causes are: Overspend on individual schemes leading to uncontainable cost pressures. Underspend on annual profile. Lack of coordination and programme management across divisions. 						5 Year mapping ongoing, 19/20 programme mapped and ongoing.			
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Economy of Place	Portfo Comm		-		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Threat Ri	isks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives. Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Thr	eat Risks	s to th	e achi	eveme	nt of Bristol City Councils Objectives.			
			Cu	irrent Leve				olera lisk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR28: Instability of Housing's new IT (The risk replaces the Housing IT CRR20)	Escalated recent (Jan 2019) major incidents to Head of Paid Service & Exec Director with updates on resolution.					Agree definition of stability from technical and business perspective.			
The stability and efficiency of the Housing IT systems present a current and future risk to effective service delivery and performance, tenant satisfaction and trust, and corporate reputation Key potential causes are: • System stability - recent system failures have	Escalated concerns in letter to Exec Director at Civica Housing & met with Housing MD & sales directors to agree plan for improving stability and improving major incidents process. Put Housing IT programme (Phase 1) into exception, reconfigured close down project to include stabilising the system and improving the support processes.					Supplement IT major incident planning in Housing's business continuity plan Plan DR testing with Civica and Click Manage close down project to include stabilisation of rents and repairs business areas & improved support processes (Phase 1 programme).			
 system studiety recent system randoes note significantly impacted service provision (CSC & CSP as well as housing) and staff trust in the system. Further fixes required in the system. Support capacity & processes - current SLAs and support arrangements with suppliers are inadequate for out of hours support. Housing's Internal IT support arrangements are immature & require further testing. Housing's IT Is highly dependent on integrations working across multiple systems. 		New	3		21	Improve engagement with staff around progress in addressing the issues with the system. Civica project manager assigned to ensure we have support from Civica to deliver priority fixes & improved support arrangements needed.	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Home and Landlord Services	Portfol Comm		,		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.			

				rrent Leve	: Risk el			olera lisk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 OPP1: One City Approach The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan strategically with partners as part of a wider city system. Key potential causes: Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner signup to the principle. Work to date has produced outline plan and engaged partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to complete the plan. 	We have scoped and entered 'Phase Three' of development of the One City Approach (OCA), including catalysing One City Plan development by providing core resource from the council's Delivery Support Unit. Worked on draft One City Plan content with partners and internal stakeholders, with a planned launch of the first iteration in January 2019. Aligned internal resourcing for One City Plan development with our review of Partnership Policy (see CRR21) to ensure a joined-up approach.	+	4	5	20	 Resource has been identified to help catalyse activity and develop the One City Plan product, with multiple offers of support from city partners. By January 2019 we will have: Developed a 'One City Plan' in partnership with a variety of city-wide and regional organisations, including scoping the formal governance of the City Office and One City Approach. Continued existing initiatives to trial and iterate the One City Approach. Instigated or enabled new projects with partners where there is a strategic fit and an opportunity to further develop a working model. Submitted a bid for council funding to contribute (alongside partners) towards a permanent City Office structure, to be confirmed through the council's annual budget process. 	4	5	20
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director: Policy, Strategy and Partnerships	Portfol	io Flag	g: Ma	yor.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	1		

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Opportu	nity Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives								
				rrent Lev	t Risk vel				ance .evel
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
OPP2: Corporate Strategy The approved Corporate Strategy presents an	We have approved and adopted the Corporate Strategy, Business Plan 19/20 and Performance Framework 19/20 through appropriate Decision Pathways.					We will implement a new system for performance management, iTrent, and ensure colleagues have annual performance reviews and			
opportunity to fundamentally refresh and strengthen our business planning, leadership and	Re-launched and completed 'My Performance' reviews including annual objective setting linked to the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan 18/19.					objective setting during Q1 19/20.			
performance frameworks. Key potential causes: • Approved Corporate Strategy provides the	Designed and delivered an integrated business planning approach for 2019/20, linking financial planning, service planning and performance management more closely and from an earlier starting point.	↔	4	7	28		4	7	28
foundation and direction for the organisation.	The LGA Corporate Peer Challenge completed, providing fresh learning opportunities to improve our approach.								
	Leadership Framework introduced and senior management posts recruited against it.								
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director: Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.	Portfol Goverr Perforr	ance a	and	iance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 – Opportur	ity Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
				rrent Leve				olera isk Le		
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Rick Rating	
OPP3: Devolution Should the potential arise for opportunities from a region's evolving, second devolution deal that could lead to an opportunity to align the Council's corporate priorities and strengthen regional partnership working. Key potential causes:	We have continued engagement with WECA; but with recognition that focus has been placed more on a proposed housing fund.	+	3	5	15	We will continue to engage with WECA at strategic level. We will engage with HM Government following suggestion that more devolution opportunities may be available following Brexit.	3	5	15	
Potential development of second devolution deal.	Action Oceanse Director Deline, Charter and Dector and inc	D - utf - l				Studen Theres On Orneristics				
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships	Portfoli Govern Perforn	ance a	and	ince,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.				

				rrent Leve				oleraı sk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 OPP4: Brexit. If exiting the European Union provides benefits, such as increased domestic concentration of power, this may lead to opportunities for this to be harnessed at a local or regional level. Key potential causes for enhancing and exploiting: Exiting the European Union. 	 We have: Completed and disseminate BCC threat and opportunity assessment, including links to our Business Plan 2019/20. This includes clear actions to be taken. Undertaken a draft internal assessment of threat and opportunities following an externally-provided workshop. Established a city Brexit Response Group. Met Michel Barnier in Brussels with the Core Cities. Been monitoring the environment; including news of threats from large local employers of leaving UK. Collaborated on draft Inclusive Economic Growth Strategy. Announced funding of Settlement Visas for EU employees. Participating in MHCLG events and national working group of local authority representatives. Attend MHCLG regional preparedness workshop for Chief Officers. Established a Brexit Project Board and allocated some contingency funding. 	+	1	5	5	We are monitoring the issue on an ongoing basis. Have further meetings of Bristol Brexit Response Group. Maintain the continued internal Brexit Project Board to ensure preparedness. Establish and activate Brexit Coordination Group as a Tactical level group to manage immediate issues relating to Brexit. Continued monitoring of external environment and government relations.	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships	Portfol Govern Perforr	ance a	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.		1	

Key External Risk and Civil Contingency Risks to note

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 - External and Civil Conti	ngency Risks								
			Cu	rrent Leve			-	oleran isk Lev	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
 BCCC1: Flooding. There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river and groundwater flood events. Key potential causes are: Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, river and groundwater flood events. Impact of climate change. Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for major incidents. 	The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire. Bristol is working with the Avon and Somerset LRF to construct new sea defences around North Somerset, Bristol and South Gloucestershire. Working with emergency services, local authorities and other agencies to develop flood response plans and procedures, investigating instances of flooding, training specialist staff in swift water rescue techniques, communicating with housing and business developers to incorporate flood protection into new developments. It provide guidance to members of the public about flooding, including flood warnings and what people can do to help themselves, regular maintenance and clearing programs of gullies and culverts, especially in the event of storm warnings. Bristol has in place a local Flood Risk Management Strategy approved at Cabinet in December 2017 which comprises of 5 keys areas and 43 separate actions in line with Environment Agency's national strategy.	+	3	5	15	 There is sustained resourcing and delivery of all actions in LFRMS over life of strategy. Strategy includes the following key projects: Working in partnership with the Environment Agency to develop a Bristol Tidal Flood Risk Management Strategy to protect the city centre, including climate change. Working in partnership with South Gloucester and the Environment Agency to deliver a flood scheme to help protect Avonmouth Village and the Enterprise Area from tidal flooding, including climate change. 	3	3	9
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Transport, Flood Risk Engineer, Strategic City Transport.	Portfol Waste Service	and Re			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.	and C	aring,	air

Key External Risk and Civil Contingency Risks to note

Corporate Risk Register as at March 2019 - External a	and Civil Contingency Risks	-							
			Cu	rren Lev	it Risl vel			olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	201	What we are doing	Likelihood		Risk Rating
 BCCC2: Brexit The risk that Brexit (and any resulting 'deal' or 'no deal') will impact the local economy, local funding and delivery of council services, and that uncertainty around Brexit could impact our ability to accurately assess or plan for potential positive or negative outcomes. Key potential causes are: Exiting the European Union. Lack of majority view on draft agreement with EU. Unprecedented and complex national / international process. Lack of planning by the authority. 	Established Bristol Brexit Response Group. With Core Cities, met Michel Barnier in Brussels. Working with Core Cities and M8 leaders on concerted joint efforts. Monitored environment; including news of threats from large local employers of leaving UK Collaborated on draft Inclusive Economic Growth Strategy Developed a BCC Brexit No Deal Scenario Assessment to inform action planning - focusing on workforce, supply chain, city economy, legal, data & regulatory, finance & funding, core operations, civil contingencies and housing. Tested this with partners, Resources Scrutiny and OSMB. Participated in MHCLG events and national working group of local authority representatives. Attend MHCLG regional preparedness workshop for Chief Officers. Formed Brexit Project Board to take forward preparedness actions. Allocated contingency funding towards community cohesion, civil contingencies, communications, project management, and procurement and citizen services. Identified other areas of potential threat which may require access to contingency funding. Ring-fenced £250k from reserves for contingency/preparedness, complementing national funding of £210k. Set Terms of Reference for a tactical Brexit Coordination Group, to manage any acute 'day one' issues. Revised Duty Senior Management rota over potential Exit Day dates to ensure prompt access to Executive Director funding decisions under delegated powers. Provided regular updates to Statutory and Policy Board, Cabinet Board and Overview and Scrutiny Management Board. Provided weekly written update to all councillors. Provided frequently asked questions and other information products to colleagues, councillors and partners.	→	4	5		 Activate Brexit Coordination Group as a Tactical level group to manage immediate issues relating to Brexit. Implement actions identified through No Deal Brexit Scenario Assessment with oversight of Brexit Project Board. Continued internal Brexit Project Board to oversee BCC preparedness and respond with agility to changing circumstances. Implement shared city-wide actions agreed by Bristol Brexit Response Group. Continued monitoring of external environment and government relations. Continue engagement with all relevant government departments and partners to ensure sectoral/organisation risks are communicated and mitigations proactively suggested. 	3	5	15
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships	Portfol Govern Perforn	nance a	and	nance	, Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

		performance summary for open risks et out by the highest risk rating first in the Quarte	r 4 January – March 2019	January	rter 4 – March /18	April	rter 1 - June /19	July - Se	rter 2 ptember /19	Quart October - I 18/	December	Quarte – January 18/3	March
Page	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
23	CRR27	Capital Transport Programme Delivery	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration									3x7=21	New
23	CRR28	Instability of Housing's new IT (Replaces CRR20)	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration									3x7=21	New
22	CRR26	ICT Resilience (Previously IT infrastructure CRR2)	Director Digital Transformation							3x7=21	New	3x7=21	+
1	CRR1	Long Term Commercial Investments and Major projects Capital Investment	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Executive Director Resources and Section 151 Officer	4x7=28	+	3x7=21	1	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+
2	CRR3	Asbestos Management	Head of Paid Service and CLB	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	+
3	CRR4	Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing	Head of Paid Service and CLB	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow
6	CRR7	Cyber-Security(Previously Cyber-Attack)	Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	↓	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow
11	CRR13	Financial Framework and MTFP	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	1	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	Ļ
20	CRR24	Procurement and contract management compliance	Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)							3x5=15	New	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
21	CRR25	ICT Line of Business (LOB) (Previously IT infrastructure CRR2)	Director Digital Transformation							3x5=15	New	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
16	CRR19	Tree Management	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
4	CRR5	Business Continuity and Council Resilience	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	1	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
17	CRR21	Information Governance (Replaces CRR14)	Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	1	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	Ļ	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
5	CRR6	Fraud and Corruption	Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow
15	CRR18	Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum by 2020.	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration					2x5=10	New	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	ŧ
19	CRR23	Better Lives Programme	Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education							2x7=14	New	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow
7	CRR9	Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education	2x7=14	↔	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow
8	CRR10	Safeguarding Adults at risk with care and support needs (Previously Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults)	Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow
9	CRR11	BCC Infrastructure Delivery	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer) and Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	+	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow
10	CRR12	Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage emergency events when they occur. (Previously Civil Contingencies and Council Resilience)	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	3x7=21	+	2x7=14	1	2x7=14	+	2x7=14	↔	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow

-		performance summary for open risks t out by the highest risk rating first in the Quart	er 4 January – March 2019	Quar January 17,		Quar April - 18,		Quar July - Sej 18/		Quart October - D 18/1	ecember	Quarte January 18/1	March
Page	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
13	CRR16	Leadership	Head of Paid Service and CLB	4x5=20	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	1	2x5=10	+	2x5=10	ŧ	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow
18	CRR21	Partnerships Governance	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New	3x5=15	+	3x3=9	1
14	CRR17	Strategy Management	Head of Paid Service	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	+	1x7=7	+	1x7=7	+	1x7=7	\leftrightarrow
12	CRR15	Financial Deficit	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	+	2x5=10	+	1x5=5	1	1x5=5	\leftrightarrow

•	•	rformance summary for closed risks out by the highest risk rating first in the Quart	er 4 January – March 2019	Quart – January 17/1	March	Quar April 18		July - S	arter 2 eptember 8/19	Quar Octol Decembe	ber -	Quarte January - N 18/19	March
Status	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
Closed	CRR14	Introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)	Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)	2x7=14	+	2x5=10	1	Closed (R	eplaced by CR	R21)			
Closed	CRR2	IT Infrastructure	Director Digital Transformation	3x7=21	+	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	↔	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	Closed (Rep CRR24 ar	,
Closed	CRR20	Housing IT Programme 2018	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration					4X5=20	New	2x5=10	1	Closed (Rep CRR2	,
Closed	CRR8	Service Review	Head of Paid Service									2x5=10	Closed

-		erformance Summary for Opportunity Risks out by the highest risk rating first in the Quart	er 4 January – March 2019	Quart - January 17/2	March	Quar April 18		July - Se	rter 2 eptember 3/19	Quart Octob Decembe	er -		ter 4 Iarch 18/19
Page	Risk ID	Risk		Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
24	OPP2	Corporate Strategy	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New	4x7=28	1	4x7=28	+
24	OPP1	One City	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New	4x5=20	1	4x5=20	+
25	OPP3	Devolution	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New	3x5=15	†	3x5=15	+
25	OPP4	Brexit	Head of Paid Service					1x5=5	New	1x5=5	†	1x5=5	+

Corporate Risk Performance Summary for External and Civil Contingency risks The risks are set out by the highest risk rating first in the Quarter 4 January – March 2019.			Quarter 4 Quarter 1 January – March April - June 17/18 18/19		Quarter 2 July - September 18/19		Quarter 3 October - December 18/19		Quarter 4 January - March 18/19				
Page	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
27	BCCC2	Brexit	Head of Paid Service			4x3=12	+	4x3=12	+	4x5=20	+	4x5=20	+
26	BCCC1	Flooding	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration			3x5=15	+	3x5=15	+	3x5=15	+	3x5=15	+

Risk Scoring Matrix

	Threat Impact (Negative risks)						Opportunity Impact (Positive Risk)					
Opportunity Likelihood	Almost certain	4	4 (Low)	12 (Medium)	20 (High)	28 (Critical)	28 (Significant)	20 (High)	12 (Medium)	4 (Low)	4	Almost certain Opp
	Likely	3	3 (Low)	9 (Medium)	15 (High)	21 (High)	21 (High)	15 (High)	9 (Medium)	3 (Low)	3	Opportunity Likelihood Likely Unlikely
	Unlikely	2	2 (Low)	6 (Medium)	10 (Medium)	14 (High)	14 (High)	10 (Medium)	6 (Medium)	2 (Low)	2	Unlikely ^{elihood}
	Rare	1	1 (Low)	3 (Low)	5 (Medium)	7 (Medium)	7 (Medium)	5 (Medium)	3 (Low)	1 (Low)	1	Rare
			1	3	5	7	7	5	3	1		
			Minor	Moderate	Major	Critical	Exceptional	Significant	Modest	Slight		

Threat Level	Opportunity Level	Level of risk	Action Required
1-4	1-4	Low	May not need any further action/monitor at service level.
5-12	5-12	Medium	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate Level.
14-20	14-20	High	Must be addressed - If Directorate level risk consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Report, if Corporate consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.
28	28	Significant	Action required - escalate (if Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Level, if Corporate bring to attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm actions to be taken.

Figure 5. Risk Management Action Table

<u>Current and Tolerance risk ratings</u>: The 'Current' risk rating for both threats and opportunities refer to the current level of risk taking into account any strategies to manage risk - management actions, controls and fall back plans already in place. The 'Tolerance' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain the level of the risk at the current level.

Positive Risks (Opportunities): Where the risk is an opportunity, a cost benefit analysis is required to determine whether the opportunity is worth pursuing, guided by the score for the matrix, e.g. an opportunity with a score of 28 would be pursued as it would offer considerable benefits for little risk.

LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING

Likelihood Guidance

Likelihood	Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4								
	1	2	3	4					
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.					
		occasions.							
Numerical Likelihood	Less than 10%	Less than 50%	50% or more	75% or more					

Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against all of the Categories, and the highest score used in the matrix).

Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7								
	1	3	5	7					
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements.	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change.	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.					
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.					
Environmental No effect (positive or negative the natural and built environn		Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.					
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m					
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m					
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).					
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or colleagues.	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work.	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work.	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). Significant long-term disability / absence from work.					
Programme / Project Management (Including developing commercial enterprises)	Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.					
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.					